U.S. COURT OF APPEALS - EIGHTH CIRCUIT APPELLANT'S FORM A Appeal Information Form To Be Filed With The Notice Of Appeal

Appear	Docket	NO.

STYLE OF CASE:	COUNSEL: NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER
Entertainment Software Association and Entertainment Merchants Association, Appellees, vs.	JAMES E. DORSEY JENNIFER A. KITCHAK Fredrickson & Byron, P.A. 200 South Sixth Street, Suite 4000 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 492-7000 PAUL M. SMITH KATHERINE A. FALLOW MATTHEW S. HELLMAN Jenner & Block LLP 601 13th Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 639-6000 ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEES
Mike Hatch, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of Minnesota, Appellant.	MIKE HATCH Attorney General State of Minnesota MICHAEL J. VANSELOW Deputy Attorney General JENNIFER L. DEKARSKE Assistant Attorney General

LIST ISSUES ON APPEAL (For administrative purposes). You may indicate that this also serves as your statement of issues under FRAP 10(b)(3). Yes. \square No.

1. Are video games a protected form of speech under the First Amendment, or unprotected entertainment like other games that do not enjoy First Amendment protection?

St. Paul, MN 55101-2128

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

(651) 296-9418

- 2. Are patently offensive violent video games, which have the effect of debasing and brutalizing human beings, unprotected obscene speech as to minors?
- 3. Did the District Court err in deciding that the State may not restrict the purchase or rental of violent video games by minors absent scientifically certain proof that violent video games cause harm to minors and in rejecting the substantial empirical evidence the State submitted from which harm to minors' psychological well-being and moral and ethical development can reasonably be inferred?
- 4. Did the District Court err in holding that the Minnesota Restricted Video Game Act ("the Act") is not narrowly tailored to serve the State's compelling interests in protecting minors from harm?
- 5. Did the District Court err in holding that the Act's adoption of the Entertainment Software Rating Board's ("ESRB") rating system is an unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority where the ESRB operates pursuant to clear and ascertainable standards?

For LEAD COUNSEL ONLY	For	LEAD	COL	JNSEL	ONLY
-----------------------	-----	------	-----	--------------	------

This appeal \square is (\boxtimes not) amenable to settlement.

Submitted by:

August 29, 2006

INSTRUCTIONS:

Filing of appellant's Form A is required to be submitted to the Clerk of the District Court with the Notice of Appeal (8 Cir. Rule 3 B). If inadvertently omitted, appellant may file Form A directly with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals before appeal is docketed. Forms are available at the District Court Clerk's Office and may be obtained electronically at:

www.ca8uscourts.gov

Copy 1 - Send to Appellee (together with an uncompleted Form B)

Copy 2 & 3 - Send to Clerk, District Court with Notice of Appeal or Eighth Circuit (see above)

Copy 4 - Retain

☑ I verify that the original of this pleading has been signed and that I will maintain the pleading in accordance with 8th Cir.R. 25(A)(a).

AG: #1657433-v1